TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

∧O 120 (Rev. 2/99)

# REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR

Alexaudria, VA 22313-1450			TRADEMARK	
•	liance with 35 § 290 and/or 15	-	5 you are hereby advised that a court action	
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DIS	TRICT COURT	
CV 08-05416 JCS	12/2/08		Golden Gate Avenue, 16th Floor, B	ox 36060, SF CA 94102
PLAINTIFF			DEFENDANT	
SUN MICROSYSTE	MS INC		UNIX SURPLUS, ET AL	
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATEN	T	HOLDER OF PATENT OR	TRADEMARK
1 17 The pss.3-5		*PLEASE SEE ATTACH COMPLAINT*		
2				
3	,		<u> </u>	
4				
5				
DATE INCLUDED	<del>+</del>	mendment	Answer Cross Bill	Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TI	RADEMARK
1				
2				
3				
4	ļ			
5	<u> </u>			<del></del>
In the above	ve—entitled case, the following	no decision has	: been rendered or judgement issued:	
DECISION/JUDGEMENT			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
CLERK		BY) DEPUTY	CLERK	DATE
Richard W. Wieking		Gina Agustine-Rivas		December 2, 2008

MEGAN DIXON (State Bar No. 162895) MARK V. HOOGLAND (State Bar No. 244559) 2 HOGAN & HARTSON, L.L.P. 4 Embarcadero Center, 22nd floor 3 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 374-2300 4 (415) 337-2499 Facsimile: 5 E-filing Attorneys for Plaintiff 6 SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC. 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO 10 5416 11 Case No.: SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC., 12 Plaintiff, COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE 13 RELIEF AND DAMAGES VS. 14 UNIX SURPLUS, an entity of unknown form; JURY TRIAL DEMANDED 15 JOHN BODO, an individual; AND DOES 1-10, Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 inereby certify that the annexed instrument is a true and correct copy 23 of the original on file in my office. ATTEST: 24 RICHARD W. WINKING Clerk, U.S. District Northern Distric 25 26 27 28 COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AND DAMAGES

1

4 5

6 7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- This case is an action for trademark infringement and false advertising under the 1. Lanham Act of 1946, 15 U.S.C. Sections 1051 et seq. (the "Lanham Act") and for related accounting claims. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1338 and under 28 U.S.C. Section 1331 because this case arises under the trademark laws of the United States, 15 U.S.C. Sections 1051 et seq.
- 2. Venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Sections 1391 and 1400(a) because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this Complaint and a substantial part of the property that is the subject of this action are situated in this District and because Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that some or all of the Defendants reside and/or have principal business offices in this District.

## INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

3. This is an intellectual property action excepted from intra-district assignment under Local Rule 3-2(c).

# **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff SUN MICROSYSTEMS, INC. ("SUN" or "Plaintiff") is a California corporation with its principal place of business at Santa Clara, California.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant Unix Surplus ("UNIX" or "Defendant") is an entity of unknown form, with its principal place of business located at 691 Walsh Avenue, Santa Clara, California.
- 6. Plaintiff is also informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendant John Bodo ("BODO" or "Defendant") is an individual who resides in the State of California.
- 7. Plaintiff is also informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that BODO is the principal of UNIX, and was the conscious, active and dominant force behind the unlawful acts of UNIX as alleged in this Complaint.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants 8. DOES 1 through 10 are subject to the jurisdiction of this Court. Said Defendants, either alone or

16i

 through their agents, servants, and employees, are distributing, selling, and/or offering for sale goods and merchandise which infringe, bear, displace and/or use, without authorization from Plaintiff, one or more of Plaintiff's SUN TRADEMARKS, or conspired to do so. The identities of the various individual and corporate Defendants are unknown to Plaintiff at this time.

9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, are individuals or business entities acting either alone or in concert with the other Defendants in committing the wrongful acts alleged herein.

# **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

## The Sun Trademarks

- 10. Sun Microsystems was founded in 1982 and has for the past 26 years engaged in the business of manufacturing and selling network computing infrastructure solutions that include computer systems, software, storage and services.
- Plaintiff's core brands include the Java technology platform, the Solaris operating system, MySQL, StorageTek and the UltraSPARC processor. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of an official SUN Corporate Overview, dated November 2008, available at <a href="http://www.sun.com/aboutsun/media/presskits/SunCorporateBackgrounder.pdf">http://www.sun.com/aboutsun/media/presskits/SunCorporateBackgrounder.pdf</a> (last checked December 2, 2008).
- 12. The SUN brand has grown dramatically since its introduction in 1982 to an international manufacturing, sales, and marketing company with over 30,000 employees worldwide. Plaintiff strongly promotes its brand and its products through advertising, point of sale materials, promotional materials, event sponsorships, and consumer electronics shows. Plaintiff has developed a state of the art website located at <a href="http://www.sun.com/">http://www.sun.com/</a> which advertises, promotes and sells SUN products including software, servers, storage, desktop solutions, microelectronics and more.
- 13. The SUN brand is well known among consumers of network computing platforms. The SUN brand has received widespread acclaim from computing industry experts. Attached as Exhibit B is the November 2008 issue of "Good News from Sun Microsystems" a sampling of recent awards and commentary about SUN products, dated November 2008,

available at <a href="http://www.sun.com/aboutsun/goodnews/pdfs/monthly\_1108.pdf">http://www.sun.com/aboutsun/goodnews/pdfs/monthly\_1108.pdf</a> (last checked December 2, 2008).

- 14. Since its incorporation in 1982, Plaintiff has continuously used the name and mark SUN MICROSYSTEMS in interstate commerce. On March 4, 1986, Plaintiff was issued a federal registration for the mark SUN in class 9 for "Computer hardware . . . and Computer software, namely, programs recorded on magnetic disks and tapes." On June 15, 1993, Plaintiff was issued a federal registration for the mark SUN in Class 9 for "[c]omputers[] and computer operating systems software and computer software for use in the field of computer networking . . . ." In addition to its exclusive rights to the name and mark SUN, Plaintiff owns federal trademark and service mark registrations and/or common law rights on a host of SUNdenominated marks (collectively, the "SUN TRADEMARKS"). Many of Plaintiff's federally registered marks have achieved incontestable status within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1065.
- 15. The SUN TRADEMARKS include, but are not limited to, the following twelve incontestable U.S. Trademark Registrations for various types of computer hardware, computer software, computer peripherals, and related goods and services:
  - SUN—Registration No. 1776322; Application Date: 06/29/1992; Registration Date: 06/15/1993
  - SUN (and Logo)—Registration No. 1794747; Application Date: 06/29/1992;
     Registration Date: 09/28/1993
  - SUN MICROSYSTEMS—Registration No. 1376942; Application Date: 05/04/1983;
     Registration Date: 01/07/1986
  - SUN—Registration No. 1384991; Application Date: 05/24/1983; Registration Date: 03/04/1986
  - SUNLINK—Registration No. 1513411; Application Date: 10/05/1987; Registration
     Date: 11/22/1988
  - SUN SITE—Registration No. 1938422; Application Date: 11/14/1994; Registration
     Date: 11/28/1995

/// //

- SUN CERTIFIED (and Design)—Registration No. 3228271; Application Date: 01/06/2000; Registration Date: 04/10/2007
- 18. Plaintiff is currently and at all relevant times has been the sole proprietor of all right, title and interest to the SUN TRADEMARKS, and has manufactured, sold and distributed the SUN TRADEMARKS in strict conformity with the provisions of the Lanham Act and all other laws governing trademarks.
- 19. Plaintiff has used the SUN TRADEMARKS in connection with the manufacture and marketing of various items of computing equipment, including but not Emited to, server systems and various other similar items, and all copies of the SUN TRADEMARKS made by Plaintiff or under its authority or license have been made in strict conformity with the provisions of the Lanham Act and all other laws governing trademarks. Plaintiff has used the SUN TRADEMARKS on and in connection with its products on a continuous and regular basis throughout the entire United States and in many foreign countries.
- 20. Plaintiff and its licensees have spent substantial time and money to advertise, publicize, and promote the sale of products bearing the SUN TRADEMARKS throughout the United States and the world. Plaintiff and its licensees have sold many millions of dollars worth of products bearing the SUN TRADEMARKS throughout the world, including the United States and this judicial district.
- 21. Plaintiff has used many of the SUN TRADEMARKS, among other things, to denote its computer hardware, software and network-related products and services. SUN's name and the SUN TRADEMARKS have been promoted extensively by Plaintiff and enjoy widespread recognition. As a result, SUN's name and the SUN TRADEMARKS have become well known among consumers and users of computer systems, software and related services as identifying designations for SUN's products and services. Plaintiff has developed substantial goodwill in its name and in the SUN TRADEMARKS.

# Defendants' Knowing and Willful Copying and Infringement of Plaintiff's Trademark

- 22. The UNIX website, <a href="http://unixsurplus.zoovy.com/">http://unixsurplus.zoovy.com/</a>, states: "We specalize [sic] in custom configured Sun Microsystems servers." A true and correct copy of the UNIX homepage, printed on November 25, 2008, is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit C.
- 23. The UNIX website prominently features the SUN TRADEMARKS and advertises multiple SUN products for sale, including, on the date visited, the SUN "Spare 5" and several "Sun Ultra" versions.
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, offer products bearing the SUN TRADEMARKS, including but not limited to the products described herein, at other retail establishments in the United States and eBay.
- 25. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, knowingly and willfully directly copied some or all of the SUN TRADEMARKS in their entirety. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants, and each of them, copied some or all of the SUN TRADEMARKS for the specific purpose of infringing Plaintiff's trademarks to aid in selling illegal, unauthorized and counterfeit copies of products purportedly manufactured by SUN.
- 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that long after Plaintiff created the SUN TRADEMARKS, Defendants began to manufacture, distribute, and sell various products that bear direct copies of the SUN TRADEMARKS.
- 27. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants are attempting to pass their products off as if they are SUN products in a manner calculated to deceive Plaintiff's customers and members of the general public, in that Defendants have directly copied the images of the SUN TRADEMARKS in an effort to make Defendants' infringing counterfeit products confusingly similar to Plaintiff's products, and in that they have modified the SUN products using non-SUN components that they are then attempting to pass off as SUN products.
- 28. The natural, probable and foresceable result of Defendants' wrongful conduct has been, and will continue to be, to deprive Plaintiff of the benefits of using the SUN

12 li

TRADEMARKS, to deprive Plaintiff of goodwill, and to injure Plaintiff's relations with present and prospective customers.

- 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that it has lost and will continue to lose substantial revenues from the sale of products bearing the SUN TRADEMARKS and other products and will sustain damages as a result of Defendants' wrongful conduct and production and sale of infringing counterfeit products. Defendants' wrongful conduct has also deprived and will continue to deprive Plaintiff of opportunities for maintaining and expanding its goodwill.
- 30. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that unless enjoined by this Court, Defendants intend to continue their course of conduct and to wrongfully use, infringe upon, counterfeit, falsely advertise, sell and otherwise profit from the SUN TRADEMARKS and works derived from them. As a direct and proximate result of the acts of Defendants alleged above, Plaintiff has already suffered irreparable damage and has sustained lost profits. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to redress all of the injuries that Defendants have caused and intend to cause by their conduct. Plaintiff will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damage and sustain lost profits until Defendants' actions alleged above are enjoined by this Court.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# Trademark Infringement - Section 1114 of the Lanham Act

(Against All Defendants)

- 31. Plaintiff incorporates herein and realleges, as if fully set forth in this paragraph, the allegations contained in Paragraph Nos. 1 through 30 above.
- 32. Plaintiff has built up valuable goodwill in the SUN TRADEMARKS and goods bearing the SUN TRADEMARKS.
- 33. Defendants, with full knowledge of the notoricty of the SUN TRADEMARKS, intended to and did trade on the goodwill associated with the trademarks, and have misled and will continue to mislead the public into assuming a connection between the goods of Plaintiff

9.

and Defendants through the sale and distribution of products which bear the registered SUN TRADEMARKS.

- 34. By their actions alleged above, Defendants have infringed and will continue to infringe the SUN TRADEMARKS by counterfeiting and selling products which purport to be, but are not, SUN products.
- 35. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction restraining Defendants, their officers, agents and employees, and all persons acting in concert with them, from engaging in any further such acts in violation of the trademark laws.
- 36. Plaintiff is further entitled to recover from Defendants the damages, including attorneys' fees, it has sustained and will sustain, and any gains, profits and advantages obtained by Defendants as a result of Defendants' acts of infringement alleged above. At present, the amount of such damages, gains, profits and advantages cannot be fully ascertained by Plaintiff.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# False Advertising - Section 1125(a) of the Lanham Act

(Against All Defendants)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates herein and realleges, as if fully set forth in this paragraph, the allegations contained in Paragraph Nos. 1 through 36 above.
- 38. Defendants have used in commerce words, terms, names, symbols, devices, combinations and/or false or misleading designations of origin, false or misleading descriptions of fact, and/or false or misleading representations of fact, which: (a) are likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of UNIX with SUN and/or the SUN TRADEMARKS, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of SUN goods, services and/or commercial activities by Defendants; and/or (b) in commercial advertising or promotion, misrepresent the nature, characteristics, or qualities of SUN goods, services or commercial activities.
- 39. Defendants' acts are in violation of 15 U.S.C. Section 1125(a) and Plaintiff has been and is likely to be damaged by these acts.

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## Accounting

(Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates herein and realleges, as if fully set forth in this paragraph, 40. the allegations of Paragraph Nos. 1 through 39 above.
- 41. Defendants' infringement of the SUN TRADEMARKS, and Defendants' sale and distribution of infringing products in the United States without authorization has benefited and continues to benefit Defendants.
- As a result, Defendants owe Plaintiff restitution and disgorgement of profits, if 42.. any, of an amount unknown to Plaintiff, and which amount cannot be ascertained without an accounting of the receipts and disbursements, profit and loss statements, and other financial materials, statements and books from Defendants.
  - 43. Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an accounting of Defendants' records.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, by virtue of the unlawful conduct of Defendants as alleged in this Complaint, Plaintiff respectfully prays:

- 1. On the First and Second Claims for Relief:
  - That the Court issue a temporary restraining order, a preliminary injunction, and a. thereafter a permanent injunction enjoining Defendants, their officers, directors, principals, agents, servants, employees, successors and assigns, and all those persons in active concert or participation with them, from:
    - (1)imitating, copying or making unauthorized use of the SUN TRADEMARKS;
    - (2) manufacturing, printing, producing, distributing, circulating, selling, offering for sale, advertising, promoting or displaying any product bearing any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation of the SUN TRADEMARKS; and
    - using any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation (3) of the SUN TRADEMARKS, in connection with the promotion,

28

2

3

4 5

6

7

advertisement, display, sale, offering for sale, manufacture, printing, production, circulation or distribution of any product.

- b. That judgment be entered for Plaintiff and against Defendants for Plaintiff's actual damages arising from Defendants' infringement of the SUN TRADEMARKS, plus any profits attributable to infringements of Plaintiff's trademarks, according to proof at trial.
- c. That judgment be entered for Plaintiff and against Defendants for statutory damages pursuant to the trademark statutes.
- d. That Defendants be required to recall from all distributors, wholesalers, jobbers, dealers, retailers, brokers and all others known to Defendants, any originals, copies, facsimiles or duplicates of any product shown by the evidence to infringe the SUN TRADEMARKS.
- e. That Defendants be required to deliver up to be impounded during the pendency of this action all copies of the SUN TRADEMARKS in Defendants' possession or under Defendants' control, including all products, labels, signs, prints, packages, dies, wrappers, receptacles and advertisements in Defendants' possession or under their control, bearing any of Plaintiffs' trademarked material, including the SUN TRADEMARKS or any simulation, reproduction, counterfeit, copy or colorable imitation thereof, including without limitation webpages, and all plates, molds, matrices, masters, tapes, films, negatives or other articles by means of which such copies may be reproduced.
- 2. On all Claims for Relief:
  - Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law;
  - b. Attorneys' fees and the costs of this action, as allowed by law; and
  - e. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: December 2, 2008.

HOGAN & HARTSON, L.L.P.

By MEGANDY

MEGAN DIXON
Attorneys for Plaintiff
SUN MICROSYSTEMS

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff is therefore entitled to an accounting of Defendants' records.

DATED: December 2, 2008.

HOGAN & HARTSON, L.L.P

You Dixon By